EXHIBIT A

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP

1285 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10019-6064

TELEPHONE (212) 373-3000

LLOYD K. GARRISON (1946-1991)
RANDOLPH E. PAUL (1946-1956)
SIMON H. RIFKIND (1950-1995)
LOUIS S. WEISS (1927-1950)
JOHN F. WHARTON (1927-1977)

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL NUMBER

(202) 223-7407 WRITER'S DIRECT FACSIMILE

(202) 379-4099 WRITER'S DIRECT E-MAIL ADDRESS

ksmith@paulweiss.com

UNIT 5201, FORTUNE FINANCIAL CENTER 5 DONGSANHUAN ZHONGLU CHAOYANG DISTRICT, BEIJING 100020, CHINA TELEPHONE (86-10) 5828-6300

> SUITES 3601 – 3606 & 3610 36/F, GLOUCESTER TOWER THE LANDMARK 15 QUEEN'S ROAD, CENTRAL HONG KONG TELEPHONE (852) 2846-0300

ALDER CASTLE
10 NOBLE STREET
LONDON EC2V 7JU, UNITED KINGDOM
TELEPHONE (44 20) 7367 1600

535 MISSION STREET, 24TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 TELEPHONE (628) 432-5100

FUKOKU SEIMEI BUILDING 2-2 UCHISAIWAICHO 2-CHOME CHIYODA-KU, TOKYO 100-0011, JAPAN TELEPHONE (81-3) 3597-8101

TORONTO-DOMINION CENTRE
77 KING STREET WEST, SUITE 3100
PO. BOX 226
TORONTO, ONTARIO M5K 1J3
TELEPHONE (416) 504-0520

2001 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1047 TELEPHONE (202) 223-7300

1313 NORTH MARKET STREET, SUITE 806 FOST OFFICE BOX 32 WILMINGTON, DE 19899-0032 TELEPHONE (302) 655-4410

February 8, 2024

VIA EMAIL

Roopal P. Luhana, Esq. Chaffin Luhana LLP 600 Third Avenue 12th Floor New York, NY 10016 Luhana@chaffinluhana.com

Sarah R. London, Esq. Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein LLP 275 Battery Street Suite 2900 San Francisco, CA 94111 slondon@lchb.com

Rachel B. Abrams, Esq.
Peiffer Wolf Carr Kane Conway & Wise LLP
555 Montgomery Stret
Suite 820
San Francisco, CA 94111
rabrams@peifferwolf.com

MATTHEW W. ABBOTT
DOWARD T. ACKERNAN
JACOB A. ADLERSTEIN
WILL AITKEN-DAVISS*
JARRYD E. ANDERSON
STEFAN ARNOLD-SOULBY*
JONATHAN H. ASHTOR
ROBERT A. ATKINIS
KANESH BALASUBRAMANIAM*
SOTT A. BATSHAY
JONATHAN H. BATSHAY
LYNN B. BAYARD
JOSEPH J. BIAL
BRUCE BIRENBOIM
H. CHRISTOPHER BOEHNING
BRIAN BOLIN
ROBERT A. BRITTON
RANGELO BONVINO
ANDEL G. BOUTMAN
ROBERT A. BRITTON
BRAD BROWN
WALTER F. BROWN*
SUSANNA M. BUERGEL
JESSICA S. CAREY
JOHN P. CARLIN
ADVINE G. CAREY
JOHN P. CARLIN
BOUTMAN
GEOFFREY R. CHEPIGA
ELLEN N. CHING
WILLIAM A. CLAREMAN
LEWIS R. CLAYTON
YAHONNES CLEARY
REBECCA S. COCCARO
JALCOPIEN
REBECCA S. COCCARO
JALCOPIEN
THOMAS V. DE LA BASTIDE III
MEREDITH R. DEARBORN*
KAREN L. DUNN
ALICE BELISLE EATON
ANDREW S. TERLY
HOMAS V. DE LA BASTIDE III
MEREDITH R. DEARBORN*
KAREN L. DUNN
ALICE BELISLE EATON
ANDREW S. HELCH
CREEN S. FIELDSTON
ANDREW C. FINCH
BRAD J. FINKELSTEIN
BRIAN P. FINNEGAN
ROBERTO FINZI
PETER S. FIELDST
MANUEL S. FREY
DAVID REFERENTIAN
AATHERINE B. FORREST
VICTORIA S. FORRESTER
HARRIS B. FREIDUS
MANUEL S. FREY
DAVID REFERENTAN
AATHERINE B. FORREST
VICTORIA S. FORRESTER
HARRIS B. FREIDUS
MANUEL S. FREY
DAVID R. FRIEDMAN
MATTHEW H. G. GREDON
JOE GRAHAM
MEINETT Z.
SALVATORE GOGLIORMELLA
NEINGHAMM
MATTHEW B. GOLDSTEIN
MOBERT O. J. GONZALEZ*
BENJAMIN GOODCHILL
MOBILA S. HALPERIN
LORGER JOHNSON
DOG GRAHAM
BRIAN S. GRIEVE
UDI GROFMAN
MELINDA HAALETT
BRIAN S. HEREDMAN
MATTHEW B. GORDON
JOE GRAHAM
BRIAN S. GRIEVE
UDI GROFMAN
MELINDA HAALETT
BRIAN S. HERPMAN
JARRETT R. HOPFMAN
OBERT TO J. GONZALEZ*
BENJAMIN HUSSEIN
CORRETTA A. IPPOLITO
WILLIAM A. ISAACSON*
SOHAIL ITANI
JARRETT R. HOPFMAN
OBERT A. KILLIP
BRIAN S. HEREDMAN
HELINDA HAALETT
BRIAN S. HERPMAN
JARRETT R. HOPFMAN
OBERT A. KILLIP
BRIAN S. HERPMAN
JARRETT R. HOPFMAN
OBERT A. KILLIP
BRIAN S. HERPMAN
JARRETT R. HOPFMAN
OBERT A. KILLIP
BRIAN S. HERPMAN
JARRETT R. HOPFMAN
OBERT A. KILLIP
BRIAN S. KENDEDY
ROBERT A.

ALEXIA D. KORBERG

NINA KOVALENKO
DANIEL J. KRAMER
ANDREW D. KRAUSE
BRIAN KRAUSE
CAITH KUSHNER
DAVID K. LAKHDHIR
GREGORY F. LAUFER
BRATTHEW N. LEIST*
XIAOYU GREG LIU
TIMOTHY LOWE*
RANDY LUSKEY*
LORETTA E. LYNCH
JEFFREY D. MARCH
ELIZABETH R. MCCOLM
ANNE MEGINNIS
JEAN M. MCLOUSHLIN
MARK F. MENDELSOHN
CLAUDINE MEREDITH-GOUJON
MATHEW MERKLE
WEATH M. MCLOUSHLIN
CLAUDINE MEREDITH-GOUJON
MATHEW MERKLE
WEATH R. MCCOLM
ANTHEW MERKLE
WEATH R. MCCOLM
ANNE MEGINNIS
JEAN M. MCLOUSHLIN
CLAUDINE MEREDITH-GOUJON
MATHEW MERKLE
WEATH M. MITCHELL
ERIN J. MORGAN
JUDIE NG SHORTELL*
CATHERIN OF ARKER
LINDSAY B. PARKS
ANDREW M. PARLEN
JOHN PATTENS
BUNG FAKUN
JOHN PATTENS
ANDREW M. PARLEN
JOHN PATTENS
JESSICA E. PHILLIPSON*
JOHN PATTENS
JOHN KENNETH S. ZIMAN T. ROBERT ZOCHOWSKI, JR

*NOT ADMITTED TO THE NEW YORK BAR

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP

Roopal P. Luhana, Esq., Sarah R. London, Esq., Rachel B. Abrams, Esq.

Re: MDL 3084 – Letter following 2/7/2024 Meet and Confer re: PTO5

2

Counsel,

Thank you for the productive meet and confer yesterday to discuss Uber's production of documents based on Pretrial Order No. 5 ("PTO5"), ¶ 6(B) which states "Uber is ordered to produce all documents Uber has previously produced to legislative, regulatory, or enforcement entities in connection with government investigations or inquiries within the United States of Uber with respect to sexual assault, including attempted assaults (except those investigations or inquiries conducted pursuant to grand jury subpoena), including all those by the California Public Utilities Department, and any associated privilege logs." We write to discuss and follow-up on a number of issues you raised, and that we raised with you, during the call.

First, as we informed you during yesterday's call, Uber endeavored to complete its production of documents pursuant to \P 6(B) by January 31, 2024, but its search efforts still continue. Uber has determined that there are additional responsive documents to produce. Accordingly, today and into next week, you will continue to receive from Uber additional rolling productions of documents produced to the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities and to the California Public Utilities Commission. We expect additional rolling productions will follow thereafter with respect to documents produced to the Iowa Department of Transportation.

We also wish to continue to engage with you to make sure the parties have a productive dialogue about the scope of what is responsive to the Court's requirement. You mentioned on our call that Plaintiffs received a number of "FOIA documents," which we understand to refer to documents provided by governmental entities to you pursuant to public records requests. You refused to identify what you requested (*i.e.*, you refused to explain what the request is or whether it aligns with the Court's PTO5, \P 6(B)) and what those "FOIA documents" are. We reiterate our request that you identify that information.

In any event, your reference to "FOIA documents" suggests that you may be interpreting ¶ 6(B) to require production of documents produced by Uber to governmental entities based on regular, ongoing statutory or administrative reporting requirements. But that is not what PTO5 provides; it requires production of documents produced by Uber to "legislative, regulatory, or enforcement entities in connection with government investigations or inquiries within the United States of Uber with respect to sexual assault" Documents provided to regulators pursuant to legislative or regulatory reporting requirements, without an underlying "government investigation or inquiry of Uber," are not within the scope of PTO5. We can continue to confer with you about this if you have a different understanding.

You also discussed on our call that you expected Uber would produce documents that had been provided to the San Francisco and Los Angeles District Attorneys based on investigations or inquiries of Uber that they conducted in or around 2014-2016. A 2014 lawsuit brought by the District Attorneys of San Francisco and Los Angeles asserted

3

claims regarding Uber's representations to customers, Uber's calculation of fares, Uber's operations at California airports, and fees charged to customers. *People of the State of California* v. *Uber Technologies, Inc.*, *et al.*, No. CGC-14-543120 (San Fran Sup. Ct.), Amended Complaint (August 8, 2015), ¶ 10. Uber does not view this lawsuit as falling within the scope of PTO5 \P 6(B). If you believe that this lawsuit is within the scope of \P 6(B), please explain the basis for your position so that we may discuss further.

You also mentioned on the call your belief that documents within the scope of PTO5 were provided to "other DAs" and "other governments" beyond the CPUC and the San Francisco and Los Angeles District Attorneys. We asked on the call that you identify the "other DAs" and "other governments" you are referencing, but you refused to do so. We reiterate our request that you provide that information so that we may have a further discussion with you about whether or why documents provided to such "other DAs" or "other governments" will or will not be provided based on the scope of PTO5.

You requested that Uber provide, by the end of the week, a list of the "government investigations or inquiries within the United States of Uber with respect to sexual assault, including attempted assaults (except those investigations or inquiries conducted pursuant to grand jury subpoena)" in which documents were produced by Uber. We have identified those investigations and inquiries by virtue of the production made on January 31, 2024, and the information described in this letter. If you believe there are other such investigations or inquiries, please identify them so we may have a discussion with you about them.

You also requested that Uber confirm whether it has produced to Plaintiffs the documents that Uber produced to Congress in connection with its investigation or inquiry with respect to sexual assault. Uber confirms that it has produced the documents provided to Congress in response to this inquiry.

We also wish to raise one other interpretational issue regarding the scope of \P 6(B). It provides that the relevant governmental investigations or inquiries of Uber are those "with respect to sexual assault, including attempted assaults." First, as to "attempted assault," we understand that to mean "attempted sexual assaults." Second, \P 6(B) specifically references "sexual assault," but does not reference "sexual misconduct." In an abundance of caution, Uber has construed the references to "sexual assault" in Paragraph 6(B) as also encompassing "sexual misconduct."

You also stated on yesterday's call that Plaintiffs intend to file a motion with respect to their position on these issues, should a resolution of the disputes not be reached, and that such a motion would be filed on an order shortening time. As outlined above, Uber is continuing to make prompt productions of materials, and is prepared and willing to continue meeting and conferring with Plaintiffs to further discuss these issues. Therefore, there is no impasse on which a motion could be based. In any event, if Plaintiffs proceed with filing a motion despite the lack of impasse or any issue requiring court intervention, Uber respectfully requests that Plaintiffs explain their bases for filing the motion under shortened time. Subject to receiving that explanation, Uber is prepared to consider

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP

Roopal P. Luhana, Esq., Sarah R. London, Esq., Rachel B. Abrams, Esq.

agreeing to a briefing schedule that would shorten time such that the matter can be heard by Judge Cisneros on February 22, 2024, provided the Court is available for further proceedings that day. Under that briefing schedule, Uber would file its response brief by February 20, 2024, assuming Plaintiffs file their motion by February 9, 2024. There would be no reply brief.

We are available to further meet and confer to discuss these issues further, and look forward to doing so. Please send some proposed times next week when you are available.

Sincerely,

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP

By: /s/ Kyle Smith

Kyle Smith 2001 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006-1047 (202)223-7407 ksmith@paulweiss.com

cc: Marlene Goldenberg Caitlin M. Woods 4